

ASAC recommendation from ASAC Tokyo Meeting

Ken Tatematsu

NAOJ, Japan

Core Program (2001 Sep report)

- SSR requirements and tasks are impressive (and daunting) in scope
- Suggest a core program for pipeline and offline
- Much less than priority 1 items
- Define narrow path from which to expand package
- Feedback from users

Core Programs (2002 Mar report)

- Core program that begins user feedback as early as practical
- Software issues remain pivotal to performance and easy use
- Request detailed management plan (timeline and milestones) for next ASAC meeting

Proposal Review

- Scheduler decides time -> Not TAC
- Give scientific ranking+maximum time
-> Proposal Review Committee (PRC)
- Treat parity among partners
- Flexibility, but long term balance
- Weighted by stringency (total time /time observable)
- Requirements for dynamic scheduler

Regional Support Center (1)

- the ALMA project part and partners' additional part
- Core functionalities and number of RSCs to be discussed
- Core functionalities: user support (from proposal preparation to archival research), feedback from users, copy of archive or link (cf. archive for each RSC)

Regional Support Center (2)

- Archival search contains some degree of VO (to be defined)